



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,090	06/28/2001	James Zu-Chia Teng	IBM 2 0007SVL920010011US1	5345	
7590 04/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael E. Hudzinski			GODDARD, BRIAN D		
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44110-2518			2171	8	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/894,090	TENG ET AL.				
" Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Brian Goddard	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	_			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	Э			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. Solution in the proposed amendment(s) a) solution will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11-13 and 15-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s),						
10. Other:		h-				
	SI	SAFET METJAHIC JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				



Continuation of 2- NOTE: The new issues being: That the Delete X-lock attribute is 'logically' associated with an X-lock.